

LEGAL EXPERT: There Is Probable Cause Comey Committed Criminal Espionage – Punishable by 10 Years in Prison

 Jim Hoft by Jim Hoft 187 Comments

1.0K Share

207 Tweet

Email

Guest post by **Joe Hoft**



Legal expert and lawyer Robert Barnes provided some expert analysis last night on Twitter about the legal implications former FBI Director and “Crooked Cardinal” James Comey is in. Comey’s leaks are highly likely to place Comey in prison [where he belongs] for many years.

Robert Barnes from Barnes Law tweeted the following set of tweets last night about the legal jeopardy that crooked Comey put himself in –

THREAD: As explained on @foxnewsnight w/ @ShannonBream, there is probable cause @Comey committed CRIMINAL

ESPIONAGE against Trump when he leaked #ComeyMemos. The law punishes espionage w/ a 10 year federal prison sentence & can be found at 18 USC 793(f).

[https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/793 ...](https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/793)

<blockquoteclass="twitter-tweet" data-lang="en">

THREAD: As explained on [@foxnewsnight](#) w/ [@ShannonBream](#), there is probable cause [@Comey](#) committed CRIMINAL ESPIONAGE against Trump when he leaked [#ComeyMemos](#). The law punishes espionage w/ a 10 year federal prison sentence & can be found at 18 USC 793(f). <https://t.co/o6hYD3wxZ1>

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law) **April 24, 2018**

Next Barnes notes –

Contrary to what you may hear, a person can be convicted of CRIMINAL ESPIONAGE even if they do not leak classified material & even if they only remove, but do not share, national security information. Criminal Espionage laws were written before we had a classification system.

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law)

2/ Contrary to what you may hear, a person can be convicted of CRIMINAL ESPIONAGE even if they do not leak classified material & even if they only remove, but do not share, national security information. Criminal Espionage laws were written before we had a classification system.

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law) **April 24, 2018**

His 3rd tweet on the subject –

3/ Criminal Espionage only requires proof that a person entrusted w/ "national defense related" information remove that information from its proper place or disclose that information to an unauthorized person in either an intentional act or because of their "gross negligence."

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law)

3/ Criminal Espionage only requires proof that a person entrusted w/ "national defense related" information remove that information from its proper place or disclose that information to an unauthorized person in either an intentional act or because of their "gross negligence."

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law) **April 24, 2018**

4th tweet –

4/ The courts have defined "national defense related" information very broadly in the seminal Supreme Court case of Gorin v. United States, effectively deferring to the judgment of the jury as to what constitutes national defense related information.

4/ The courts have defined "national defense related" information very broadly in the seminal Supreme Court case of Gorin v. United States, effectively deferring to the judgment of the jury as to what constitutes "national defense related" information. <https://t.co/tmitUc1jzk>

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law) **April 24, 2018**

5th tweet –

5/ Some courts limited the Criminal Espionage statute to information which is “potentially dangerous” to disclose to national security and “closely held” information. That same court also said the information did not have to ever be classified for it to be a crime to disclose it.

5/ Some courts limited the Criminal Espionage statute to information which is “potentially dangerous” to disclose to national security and “closely held” information. That same court also said the information did not have to ever be classified for it to be a crime to disclose it.

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law) **April 24, 2018**

6th tweet –

*6/ Here is the key: In order for **@Comey** to ever classify **#ComeyMemos** as either “confidential” or “secret,” he admitted the memos were government proprietary information, related to national security, & the disclosure of which was “reasonably expected” to hurt national security.*

*6/ Here is the key: In order for **@Comey** to ever classify **#ComeyMemos** as either “confidential” or “secret,” he admitted the memos were government proprietary information, related to national security, & the disclosure of which was “reasonably expected” to hurt national security.*

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law) **April 24, 2018**

7th tweet –

7/ Now add in: Classified Information NDA **@Comey** signed to be FBI Director, his sophisticated longtime use of classified information, his admission that information did not have to be marked classified in the Hillary press conference, and his record prosecuting whistleblowers.

7/ Now add in: Classified Information NDA **@Comey** signed to be FBI Director, his sophisticated longtime use of classified information, his admission that information did not have to be marked classified in the Hillary press conference, and his record prosecuting whistleblowers.

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law) **April 24, 2018**

8th and final tweet –

8/ Adds up to one thing: there is probable cause that James **@Comey** committed CRIMINAL ESPIONAGE when he removed the **#ComeyMemos** from FBI exclusive control & custody after his firing (the first crime) & then gave them to an unauthorized person (the 2nd crime). **#MultipleFelonies**

8/ Adds up to one thing: there is probable cause that James **@Comey** committed CRIMINAL ESPIONAGE when he removed the **#ComeyMemos** from FBI exclusive control & custody after his firing (the first crime) & then gave them to an unauthorized person (the 2nd crime). **#MultipleFelonies**

— Robert Barnes (@Barnes_Law) **April 24, 2018**

It looks like Cardinal Comey committed criminal espionage when he removed the emails he prepared and then gave them to

an unauthorized friend. Maybe in jail the Cardinal can start a choir with his new found friends some day soon in jail.

WHAT A LIAR! Comey Says He STILL Doesn't Know If Hillary's Camp Funded the Dossier... He Signed THREE FISA Applications to Authorize Wiretaps

Anyway (thegatewaypundit.com)

by Scrooblemeyer to **news** (+138|-2)

[comments](#)